# CLCS Review Item Disposition

| 1. Initiator | LAST NAME FIRST | 2. Type of Review | 3. RID Number |
|---|---|---|---|
| Name | Feodoroff, Barry | ☐ General Document Review | 00200-112 |
| Organization | LMSMSS | ☒ PDR, CDR, **ABR**, PPR (circle) | |
| Phone | 861-2233 | ☐ Other _____ | |
| Fax | | | |

| 5a. Doc. Number | 84K00200 | 6. Doc. Name | System Level Specification (SLS) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5a. Doc. Revision | Pre-Release 1 | | |

| 6. Name of RID Team | SLS RID Review Team |
|---|---|

**7. Problem**

Paragraph 2.2.7 Safing and Biomed Requirements:  1) If the CLCS Safing System bypasses the CLCS RTPS , why list it under the RTPS requirements section (2.2)?
2.)  The Biomed requirements have nothing to do with the safing requirements; and read as requirements for a piece of application software.

**8. Recommendation**

1.)  List the safing requirements under a separate section of the specification.

2.)  Include the biomed requirements under the application software section (2.2.6)

**9. Impact if recommendation not implemented**

Clarify the CLCS requirements.

_____  _____
Initiator - Signature          Submission Date

**10. Team Recommendation**

☐ Accepted
☒ Rejected
☐ Study
☐ Withdrawn
☐ Deferred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel
**Comments**

See Attachment.

RID Team Manager - Signature _____

**11. Action Required**

☐ Update Document
☐ Study
☐ Other (specify) _____

**Comments**

**12. Final RID Closure Action**

☐ RID to be incorporated in next revision
☐ RID to be incorporated in other (specify)

RID Team Manager - Signature _____

**13. Additional Comments/Notes**

Due **NO LATER THAN** April 30, 1997

## Response Attachment 200-112

1.  The Safing system is part of the RTPS, however it must be capable of performing hardware safing if the primary data processing systems (i.e., Flow Zone, Control Group, Gateway Group, and Networks) fail. The sentence referred to in this RID will be changed under another RID to clarify this.

The problem statement in the second part of this RID requests a change that is believed by the CLCS design team to be invalid for the following reasons:

1.  The Safing and Biomed Decommutation Processing Equipment is provided by legacy hardware and software. These systems will not be developed for CLCS. This equipment is combined because of functional considerations. Both Biomed and Safing subsystems require an independent and separate way to decommutate the OI PCM Stream.
2.  The requested action doesn't provide sufficient value added to warrant a change to the document.

The RID Management Team agrees with this assessment. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it.